


Introduction to the Airline Planning Process

Dr. Peter Belobaba

16.75/1.234 Airline Management

February 8, 2006



Airline Terminology and Measures

- **Airline Demand**

RPM = Revenue Passenger Mile

- One paying passenger transported 1 mile

Yield = Revenue per RPM

- Average fare paid by passengers, per mile flown

- **Airline Supply**

ASM = Available Seat Mile


- One aircraft seat flown 1 mile

Unit Cost = Operating Expense per ASM (“CASM”)

- Average operating cost per unit of output

- **Average Load Factor = RPM / ASM**

- **Unit Revenue = Revenue/ASM (“RASM”)**



Example: Airline Measures

- **A 200-seat aircraft flies 1000 miles, with 140 passengers:**

RPM = 140 passengers X 1000 miles = 140,000

ASM = 200 seats X 1000 miles = 200,000

- **Assume total revenue = \$16,000; total operating expense = \$15,000:**

Yield = \$16,000 / 140,000 RPM = \$0.114 per RPM


Unit Cost = \$15,000 / 200,000 ASM = \$0.075 per ASM

Unit Revenue = \$16,000 / 200,000 ASM = \$0.080 per ASM


- **Average Load Factor = RPM / ASM**

ALF = 140,000 / 200,000 = 70.0%


- For single flight, also defined as passengers / seats




US Airline Traffic 2001-2004




SOURCE: AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION




US Airline Capacity 2001-2004




SOURCE: AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION




US Airline Losses Almost \$40 Billion From 2001 to 2005






Load Factors are at Record Levels




Source: ATA data




US Domestic Unit Revenues

**PRASM (ϕ) -- Mainline Domestic
12 Months Ended**




Source: ATA data




Airline Supply Terminology

- **Flight Leg (or “flight sector” or “flight segment”)**
 - Non-stop operation of an aircraft between A and B, with associated departure and arrival times
- **Flight**
 - One or more flight legs operated consecutively by a single aircraft (usually) and labeled with a single flight number (usually)
 - NW945 is a two-leg flight BOS-MSP-SEA operated with a B757
- **Route**
 - Consecutive links in a network served by single flight numbers
 - NW operates 2 flights per day on one-stop route BOS-MSP-SEA
- **Passenger Paths or Itineraries**
 - Combination of flight legs chosen by passengers in an O-D market to complete a journey (e.g., BOS-SEA via connection at DTW)

Types of Decision




SOURCE: Prof. C. Barnhart




Airline Planning Decisions

1. **FLEET PLANNING: What aircraft to acquire/retire, when and how many?**
2. **ROUTE EVALUATION: What network structure to operate and city-pairs to be served?**
3. **SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT: How often, at what times and with which aircraft on each route?**
4. **PRICING: What products, fares and restrictions for each O-D market?**
5. **REVENUE MANAGEMENT: How many bookings to accept, by type of fare, to maximize revenue on each flight and over the network?**




1. FLEET PLANNING

- **Long-term strategic decision for an airline:**
 - Affects financial position, operating costs, and especially the ability to serve specific routes.
- **Huge capital investment with lasting impacts:**
 - US \$40-60 million for narrow-body aircraft
 - \$200+ million for wide-body long-range 747-400
 - Depreciation impacts last 10-15 years
 - Some aircraft have been operated economically for 30+ years




Fleet Planning Decisions

- **Fleet planning is an optimal staging problem:**
 - Number and type of aircraft required
 - Timing of deliveries and retirement of existing fleet
 - Tremendous uncertainty about future conditions
- **Aircraft evaluation criteria for airlines include:**
 - Technical and performance characteristics
 - Economics of operations and revenue generation
 - Marketing and environmental issues
 - Political and international trade concerns




2. ROUTE PLANNING

- **Given a fleet, selection of routes to be flown**
- **Economic considerations dominate :**
 - Forecasts of potential demand and revenues
 - Airline’s market share of total forecast demand
 - Opportunity cost of using aircraft on this route
 - Network implications for costs, revenues and “profit”
- **Practical considerations just as important:**
 - Aircraft with adequate range and proper capacity
 - Performance and operating cost characteristics
 - Operational constraints and aircraft/crew rotation issues
 - Regulations, bilaterals, and limited airport slots



“Route Profitability Models”

- **OR models designed to perform such route evaluations, used by some airlines:**
 - Demand, cost and revenue forecasts for specific route, perhaps for multiple years into the future
 - Select routes to maximize profits, given set of candidate routes and estimated demands
 - Subject to fleet and capacity constraints
 - Assessments should be based on total *network* impacts
- **Built on highly simplified assumptions:**
 - Profit estimates entirely dependent on accuracy of demand estimates and market share models
 - Ability to integrate competitive effects is limited



3. SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT


- **Involves several interrelated decisions, which to date have not been fully integrated:**

Frequency Planning: Number of departures to be offered on each route, non-stop versus multi-stop

Timetable Development: Flight departure and arrival times, including connections at airline hubs


Fleet Assignment: Aircraft type for each flight, based on demand and operating cost estimates

Aircraft Rotation Planning: Links consecutive flights to ensure balanced aircraft flows on the network.




OR Models in Airline Scheduling

- **Airline scheduling problems have received most operations research (OR) attention**
- **Use of schedule optimization models has led to impressive profit gains in:**
 - Aircraft rotations; fleet assignment
 - Crew rotations; maintenance scheduling
- **Current focus is on “solving” larger problems:**
 - Timetable *optimization* is still not feasible--too many dimensions and constraints




4. PRICING DECISIONS

- **“Differential pricing” by airlines is universal:**
 - Classes of service (First, Business, Coach)
 - Different “fare products” within the coach cabin, with different restrictions, at different prices
 - Virtually every airline in the world offers multiple price points (even low-fare carriers with “simplified” fare structures)
- **Economic trade-off in pricing decisions:**
 - Stimulation of new demand; increased market share for airline
 - Diversion of existing demand to lower fares; reduced revenues
 - Recent pricing difficulties of network airlines due in part to greater diversion of revenues than stimulation of demand




Pricing Models

- **Pricing theory has not kept pace with airline competitive pricing practices**
 - Difficult to estimate price elasticity, willingness to pay, potential for stimulation and diversion
 - No practical tools for airlines to determine “optimal” prices
- **Some airlines are now implementing “Pricing Decision Support Systems”**
 - Primarily monitoring of price changes
 - Little competitive modeling of pricing impacts
- **Dominant practice is to *match* low fares to fill planes and retain market share.**





5. REVENUE MANAGEMENT

- **“Inventory control” for airlines:**
 - Given a scheduled flight, capacity and prices, how many bookings to accept by fare type
 - Objective is to maximize revenue -- fill each seat with highest possible revenue
- **Computerized RM systems used by airlines to increase revenues by 4-6%:**
 - Generate forecasts by flight date and fare class
 - Optimize seat allocations to different fare classes
 - Overbooking models to minimize costs of denied boardings and “spoilage”




Example of Third Generation RM System





Integrated Airline Planning Models

- As described, current practice is to perform scheduling, pricing and RM *sequentially*.
- Integrated models would *jointly* optimize schedules, capacity, prices, and seat inventories:
 - Better feedback from pricing and RM systems can affect optimal choice of schedule and aircraft
 - Better choice of schedule and capacity can reduce need for excessive discounting and “fare wars”



The Ultimate Challenge

- **Joint optimization and planning is a big challenge, both theoretically and practically:**
 - Few airlines have “corporate databases” with consistent and detailed demand/cost data
 - Research is still required to identify models that can capture dynamics and competitive behaviors
 - Organizational coordination within airlines and willingness to accept large-scale decision tool
 - Might never be possible to integrate all subtleties of airline planning decisions into a useful tool